



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,440	10/19/2001	Royal O'Brien	2033267-0009	1070	
75	7590 04/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark Young P.A.			AN, SHAWN S		
9951 Atlantic Blvd. Suite 227			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Jacksonville, FL 32225			2613	2	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	· V	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/039,440	O'BRIEN, ROYAL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shawn S An	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DIVIO CETTO EVOIDE AM	ONTUKO EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rest. It reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 January 2004</u> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
The same and a state of the sta	not of the cortined copies flot i	oscivou.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theoryieus S	Immary (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 8				

Application/Control Number: 10/039,440

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. As per Applicant's instruction in Paper 7 as filed on 1/16/04, claims 1 and 11 have been amended, and claims 3 and 13 have been canceled.

### Response to Remarks

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims 1 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-12, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bresalier et al (5,513,181) in view of Yamada et al (6,469,745 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Bresalier et al discloses a system/method of transcoding video data stream, the transcoding system/method comprising: means for decoding the input video data stream (col. 1, lines 15-24) means for intra-transcoding the decoded video stream (col. 1, lines 15-24); means for encoding the intra-trascoded video stream (col. 4, line 52-55); and means for post-encoding the encoded intra-transcoded video stream (col. 4, line 52-58).

Bresalier et al fails to disclose means for detecting and removing video data representative of black bands in the input video stream.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,440

Art Unit: 2613

However, Yamada et al teaches an image processor comprising means for detecting and removing video data representative of black bands in the input video stream (Fig. 8; col. 11, lines 11-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a system/method of transcoding video data stream as taught by Bresalier et al to incorporate the conventional concept of detecting and removing video data representative of black bands in the input video stream as taught by Yamada et al for the purpose of enhancing the displayed image on the TV display monitor by avoiding the black band parts.

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Bresalier et al fails to disclose deinterlacing the video stream. However, the Examiner takes official notice that deinterlacing the video stream is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of skill in the art to deinterlace video stream for producing different component (progressive) signals.

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Bresalier et al discloses means for removing the compression errors from the decoded video data stream (col. 1, line 24).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Bresalier et al discloses means for synchronizing the video stream (col. 1, lines 27-32).

Regarding claims 6-10 and 16-20, Bresalier et al fails to disclose encoding profile. However, the Examiner takes official notice that encoding profile is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of skill in the art to perform encoding profile such as bit rate or frame size or setting a key frame or setting a quality (finer quantization) so as to achieve desired output video data stream.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,440

Art Unit: 2613

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Page 4

- A) Plantholt (5,517,252), Device for reproducing picture signals in letter-box format.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn S An whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 8. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Francisco (Control of Control of

SSA

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

4/15/04